

# **Microbiological & Immunological Communications**



DOI: [doi.org/10.55627/mic.003.01.04](https://doi.org/10.55627/mic.002.02.0468)89

#### **Research Article**

# **Illuminating Biofilm Formation and Pathogen Persistence in Household Refrigerators: Evidence from Karachi, Pakistan**

**Rimsha Soomro<sup>1</sup> , Shaista Urooj<sup>2</sup> , Zulfiqar Ali Mirani\*<sup>3</sup> , Noor-ul-Huda<sup>4</sup> , Shagufta Naz<sup>3</sup> , Ameer Ahmed Mirbahar<sup>5</sup> , Anila Sidiqui<sup>6</sup> , Asadullah Khoshhall<sup>6</sup> , Mohammad Naseem Khan<sup>5</sup> , Abdul Basit Khan<sup>6</sup> , Tahira Soomro<sup>1</sup> , Yasir Raza<sup>1</sup>**

Department of Microbiology, University of Karachi, Pakistan Aquatic Diagnostic and Research Center, Bahria University Karachi, Pakistan Microbiology Section, PCSIR Laboratories Complex Karachi-Sindh-Pakistan Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi-Sindh- Pakistan Department of Botany, Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Mir's-Sindh-Pakistan Food and Marine Resources Research Centre, PCSIR Laboratories Complex Karachi, Pakistan **\*Correspondence**[: mirani\\_mrsa@yahoo.com](mailto:mirani_mrsa@yahoo.com)

© The Author(s) 2024. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### **Abstract**

In the modern household, refrigerators play a central role in preserving food freshness. However, the microbial landscape within these appliances, particularly the formation of biofilms, remains a significant concern for food safety and hygiene. This study investigated biofilm formation in household refrigerator environments to understand the potential risks associated with microorganisms and their implications for food safety. The study was conducted by examining a total of 80 refrigerators of residents of Karachi, over a 15-day period. employing rigorous methodologies to assess optical density (OD), Colony Forming Units (CFU/cm²), and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) to uncover bacterial species' abilities to develop biofilms. Results revealed that 12 out of the 80 slides displayed positive biofilm formation based on both OD and CFU criteria. Strong biofilm producers encompassed *Acinetobacter, Listeria, P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, Bacillus, Salmonella, and others. Notably, *P. aeruginosa* was a common component across different biofilm categories. In vitro studies on individual isolates further confirmed biofilm-forming capabilities. *P. aeruginosa* isolates exhibited strong biofilm formation, while *E. coli* isolates displayed weak capabilities. *Enterococci* isolates generally formed weak to moderate biofilms. In summary, our study reveals the prevalence of biofilm formation in household refrigerators, with significant microbial risks posed by species like *P. aeruginosa*. These findings underscore the importance of stringent hygiene practices for ensuring food safety at home.

**Keywords:** Adhesion, Colony Forming Units, Dispersion, Multi-Species, Optical Density, Single Species.

#### **1. Introduction**

Modern refrigeration contributes to the relatively excellent quality of food service meals. Although they serve as a common storage environment for various food items but provide conditions that can support the

growth and survival of pathogens as well (Ye et al, 2019). That's how the food can become contaminated and spoil even when refrigerated (Azad et al, 2019). The inoculum in refrigerators frequently gets its supply from the environment, raw materials,

improper handling practices, or inadequate cleaning procedures (Arun, 2018).

Pathogens introduced through contaminated food, improper handling practices, or inadequate cleaning procedures can multiply within the refrigerator and spread to different surfaces through crosscontamination (Okpala, Charles, and Ifeoma, 2019). These pathogens, encountering low temperatures, adopt a biofilm mode of life as a survival strategy, allowing them to persist and thrive in challenging conditions (Parrilli et al, 2021). The formation of biofilms in refrigerators presents a complex phenomenon involving the interaction of multiple bacterial species (. Treccani, 2023). Within these biofilm consortia, pathogens employ two distinct strategies: persistence and dispersal (Shree et al, 2023). While some pathogens remain within the biofilm structure, benefiting from protective matrices and cooperative interactions with other bacterial species, others detach from the biofilm and seek out new colonization sites within the refrigerator ( Parrilli et al, 2021; Treccani, 2023). This dispersal strategy can lead to the colonization of additional surfaces, potentially spreading the infection to other food items or refrigerator compartments (Shree et al, 2023). The survival and persistence of pathogens within biofilm structures can be attributed to suboptimal conditions and stress experienced at low temperatures (DeFlorio et al, 2021). While low temperatures are generally unfavorable for the growth of most bacteria, the adoption of a biofilm lifestyle enables pathogens to withstand these conditions ( Sharan et al, 2022). Moisture, space, and nutrient availability within the biofilm structure play crucial roles in promoting the resilience and persistence of bacteria (Alegbeleye et al, 2022).

Furthermore, the presence of diverse bacterial species within multi-species biofilm consortia highlights the complexity of microbial interactions and dynamics within the refrigerator environment (Alegbeleye et al, 2022). By using the culture-isolationidentification approach, some researchers examined the microbial species present in household refrigerators and discovered that the predominant microbiota on the surface of these refrigerators included Bacillus, Staphylococcus, Kocuria, Pseudomonas, Cladosporium, Aspergillus, and Penicillium, Aeromonas, Listeria spp, Yersinia spp (Ye et al, 2019; Yang et al, 2013). Understanding biofilm formation mechanisms, pathogen prevalence, and interactions is crucial for targeted interventions and management (Shao et al, 2021). Therefore the study is designed to study biofilm formation in refrigerators so that by effectively controlling and mitigating biofilm formation, we can ensure food safety, minimize the spread of pathogens, and safeguard public health.

#### **2. Methods & Materials**

# **2.1 Sample Collection and Biofilm Development**

This study investigated 80 household refrigerators of various models and sizes. For this, a clean slide was precisely placed on each refrigerator floor after thorough cleaning and disinfection to eliminate existing biofilm. The refrigerators were kept at 4-4.5ᵒC with 40-60% humidity for two weeks to facilitate biofilm development. The refrigerators' doors were opened minimally to prevent disturbances to biofilm development. After two weeks of incubation, slides were aseptically collected from the refrigerator floor using sterile forceps to avoid surface contact. The slides

were individually placed in sterile Petri dishes for examination. Gram staining and microscopy were then conducted to visualize bacterial adhesion.

## **2.2 Colony-Forming Unit (CFU) Count by sonication method:**

To quantify the viable bacteria adhered to the slides, a sonication method was employed. The slides were submerged in a Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) solution (PH=7.4), and ultrasonication was applied to dislodge the adhered bacteria. Serial dilutions of the resulting suspension were plated on appropriate agar media. After incubation, the number of bacterial colonies was counted, and CFU/cm² was determined.

# **2.3 Segregation of Individual Isolates from Biofilm Consortia**

# **2.3.1 Serial Dilution and Plating Method:**

Biofilm-positive slides were placed in a phosphate buffer and vortexed to disperse the cells. The buffer was serially diluted using sterile water or saline solution to decrease the population density. Aliquots of the diluted suspension were plated onto Tryptone Soy agar plates using pour plate techniques. After incubation, well-separated colonies were transferred to separate plates for further isolation (Marmion et al, 2022).

# **2.3.2 Selective Isolation of bacteria by using selective and differential media:**

Well-separated colonies from dilution and plating were inoculated onto specific media tailored for each organism: Cetrimide agar for Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Eosin Methylene Blue agar for Escherichia coli, Baird-Parker agar with egg yolk tellurite for Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria selective agar for Listeria, Brilliant Green agar, Hektoen Enteric agar, and Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate agar for Salmonella,

Salmonella-Shigella agar for Shigella, Menterococci agar for Enterococci, Mackonkey agar for Acinetobacter, Chromogenic coliform agar for Coliforms and Fecal Coliforms, TCBS agar for Vibrio species, and MYP agar. Incubation was 24 hours for isolation and purification, with a 15-day duration for biofilm formation.

# **2.4 Quantification of Biofilm Biomass by Using Crystal Violet Staining and Optical Density (OD)**

Biofilm thickness was evaluated using crystal violet staining and OD measurement. Biofilm-positive slides were stained with crystal violet dye, followed by rinsing and drying. The stained biofilm was visualized under a microscope. For OD measurement, biofilm-positive slides were dispersed in phosphate buffer, and the cell suspension was mixed well. The OD of the suspension was measured using a spectrophotometer, providing a quantitative assessment of biofilm density (Desai and Linda, 2019).

# **2.5 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**

Slides for SEM analysis were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde, rinsed with phosphate buffer, and dehydrated through ethanol washes (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 100%). Biofilm-positive slides were sectioned, washed with distilled water, and negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. Ethanol dehydration (50%, 75%, 95%) preceded platinum coating using an auto-fine coater. Images were acquired with a JSM IT 100 JEOL Electron Microscope (5-20 KV) in high vacuum conditions using a Secondary Electron Detector.

# **2.6 Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis, utilizing SPSS software Version 24, employed a qualitative approach to explore the correlation between CFU/cm² and OD in biofilm formation by a mixed



**Figure 1: Categorization of biofilm producers into weak, intermediate, and strong groups based on**  Optical Density (OD). Weak producers  $(OD \le 0.9)$  exhibit limited biomass, while intermediate **producers (OD > 0.9 to 1.0) show moderate maturity. Strong producers (OD > 1.0) indicate wellestablished biofilms.**

bacterial culture. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) gauged linear correlation, providing a numerical value between 0 and 1. Additionally, a t-test compared the means of two groups, assessing the impact of procedures or differences between groups. A significance level (P-value <0.05) determined variable significance.

#### **3. Results**

#### **3.1 Biofilm Development**

The findings showed that among the 80 collected slides, merely 12 exhibited evidence of biofilm formation, as confirmed by both the OD measurements and the total CFU/cm2 counts. It's noteworthy that the refrigerators were consistently maintained within a controlled temperature range of 4 to 4.5 degrees Celsius throughout the study. Furthermore, the humidity levels inside the

refrigerators were maintained between 40% and 60%.

## **3.2 Exclusion and Inclusion Criteria for Slide Selection**

Slides with CFU counts below 1000 CFU/cm² and OD under 0.6 were omitted to eliminate underdeveloped biofilms. Slides with an OD exceeding 0.8 were excluded if CFU counts were low (<1000 CFU/cm²) to prevent optical artifacts. Conversely, slides with high CFU counts ( $>10,000$  CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) and low OD (<0.5) were excluded to avoid overestimating biofilm density. Scanning electron micrographs aided in excluding debrisladen slides to ensure accurate biofilm structure assessment.

**3.3 Quantification of Biofilm Formation by Colony Forming Unit and Optical Density**



**Figure 2: Comparative Analysis of Total Colony Forming Units (CFU) in Multispecies Biofilms**

Among the 80 examined refrigerators, 51 (approximately 63.75% of the total) displayed bacterial counts below 100 CFU/cm² and showed no signs of biofilm. For 11 refrigerators (about 13.75% of the sample), slides exhibited bacterial counts below 1000 CFU/cm² and OD values below 0.6. In contrast, slides from 6 refrigerators (7.5%) were heavily debris-laden and consequently excluded from the study. This exclusion aimed to maintain the focus on well-defined biofilm samples, ensuring research reliability. Out of the 80 refrigerators scrutinized, only 12 slides (15% of the sample) met the criteria for total bacterial count and OD within the specified range (Figure 1). These slides were thus deemed suitable for further analysis and were included in the study.

## **3.4 Investigation and Characterization of Biofilm**

In our study, we employed three criteria to investigate and characterize biofilms: OD, total bacterial count (CFU), and a combination of OD and CFU/cm² (Figures 1, 2, and 3).

The study categorized biofilm producers based on biofilm OD and total bacterial count. Weak producers ( $OD \le 0.9$ , CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> ≤ 100,000) included two consortia with OD=0.88: one comprising Vibrio, Salmonella, Klebsiella, and E. coli, and the other with Vibrio, Bacillus, P. aeruginosa, and C. albicans. Moderate producers  $(OD > 0.9$  to 1.0) had four groups, and strong producers (OD > 1.0) comprised three groups. Notable strong biofilm producers included Acinetobacter, Listeria, and P. aeruginosa (OD=1.02, 15,520 CFU/cm²) and S. aureus, E.



**Figure 3: Correlation between Total Colony Forming Units (CFU) and Optical Density (OD) of Multispecies Biofilms, with 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI).**

coli, Bacillus, P. aeruginosa, Enterococci, Salmonella, and Gram-negative rods (OD=1.02, 8,900,000 CFU/cm²). P. aeruginosa was prevalent in multi-species biofilms (83.3%), with E. coli (66.67%), Enterococci (50%), and Bacillus (41.67%) following. S. aureus, Salmonella, and Acinetobacter were present in 25%, while Klebsiella, Listeria, and Vibrio were in 16.67%. A consortium included C. albicans, and some slides featured unidentified strains of grampositive and gram-negative bacteria (Figures 1, 2, and 3).

#### **3.5 Purification of Isolates**

Isolates from the 10 tested strains were separated and purified for individual in vitro biofilm formation studies. P. aeruginosa isolates demonstrated strong biofilm-forming capacity, with 7 out of 10 exhibiting a biofilm OD > 0.90, indicating high biofilm formation. Two isolates showed a biofilm OD > 0.87, signifying a relatively strong biofilm capacity. One isolate displayed a comparatively weaker biofilm

formation with an OD of 0.66. Purified E. coli isolates demonstrated weak biofilm-forming capabilities. Out of the 8 tested isolates, 3 had a biofilm OD <0.60, indicating a relatively low biofilm formation capacity.

One isolate displayed a biofilm OD <0.50, signifying very weak biofilm production. Two isolates had a biofilm OD <0.70, and 2 other isolates had a biofilm OD <0.80, both suggesting modest biofilm formation ability. Enterococci isolates, when studied individually, exhibited a predominantly weak biofilm-producing capacity. Out of the 6 tested isolates, only one demonstrated strong biofilm formation with a biofilm OD <0.90. Two isolates had a biofilm OD <0.80, indicating a relatively moderate biofilmforming ability. Three Enterococci isolates displayed a biofilm OD <0.50, suggesting a weak to moderate biofilm formation capacity. Lastly, one isolate had a biofilm OD >0.50, indicating a comparatively weaker biofilm producer. Bacillus species from refrigerators displayed strong biofilm-



**Figure 04: (A) Multispecies Biofilms Showing Adhesion of Rod-Shaped and Cocci Bacteria in Compact Structures after 15 Days of Incubation at 4°C in a Refrigerator. (B) Strong Biofilm Consortia Revealing Multicellular Aggregates of Cells Covered with Matrix Material and Firmly Attached to Solid Surfaces. (C) Slide Surface Covered with Debris Without Bacterial Cells. These Slide Types Were Excluded from the Study.**

producing capabilities, both individually and in mixed-species consortia. In singlespecies biofilms, all four Bacillus isolates consistently demonstrated an OD >0.90, indicating a high level of biofilm formation capacity.The findings from the biofilm OD analysis demonstrated that S. aureus isolates displayed strong biofilm-producing

capabilities in single-species studies, while Vibrio, Salmonella, and Klebsiella strains exhibited weak biofilm-forming abilities. Acinetobacter and Listeria strains were categorized as intermediate biofilm producers based on their OD values. In vitro studies at 35°C showed the highest OD after 96 hours of incubation, while none of the

isolates produced biofilm at 4°C even after 15 days of incubation.

#### **3.6 Scanning Electron Microscopy**

SEM analysis of biofilm-positive slides revealed strong cell attachment forming a densely packed assembly with abundant extracellular matrix material (Figure 4). Notably, one slide (Figure 2) showed cells enveloped in a substantial matrix layer, indicating significant matrix presence and active production. Even in weak or moderate biofilm consortia, SEM analysis revealed densely packed cells within matrix material, suggesting cohesive structures despite lower biofilm strength. Biofilm-negative slides surprisingly displayed slimy matrix material and a scattered bacterial population. Direct observation revealed a thick layer of matrix material coating the slide's surface, potentially acting as a cementing cover or protective layer for the biofilm structure.

#### **4. Discussion**

Modern household refrigerators, essential for food storage, maintain a temperature range of 37°F (3°C) to 41°F (5°C), ideal for short-term storage of ready-to-eat food, vegetables, and fresh fruits. (Marra et al, 2023; Adamowicz et al, 2018). Furthermore, the majority of bacterial pathogens are unable to thrive at this temperature range  $(3^{\circ}C - 5^{\circ}C)$  (Giaouris et al, 2015). Consequently, storage at this temperature leads to an extension in shelf life and the preservation of product quality (Kamimura et al, 2022). It's important to note that if bacteria are already present, their growth may not cease entirely; rather, it might transition into a dormant state (Kamimura et al, 2022; Ahmed et al, 2022). Nevertheless, there are instances when highly contaminated products are inadvertently stored in refrigerators, leading to the

introduction of diverse pathogens into the system (. Wu et al, 2023). Pathogens' ability to endure low temperatures in household refrigerators, operating at around 4 °C, allows them to persist and potentially contaminate other fresh products (Adamowicz et al, 2018, Marshall, 2022). Consequently, when food is taken out from the refrigerator and exposed to room temperature, the bacteria have the potential to resume their growth and continue multiplying (Wu et al, 2023; Marshall, 2022). Another significant characteristic of foodborne pathogens is their ability to create biofilms, especially under challenging growth conditions (Sammugam, Lakhsmi, and Visweswara Rao, 2019). This research focuses on the development of multispecies biofilms in refrigerators, addressing the persistent challenge documented in the food and dairy sectors (Shaik, Lubna, and Snehasis, 2022). Pathogens in biofilms resist standard sanitization, posing challenges to their elimination from systems (Marshall, 2022; Sammugam, Lakhsmi, and Visweswara Rao, 2019). Prominent pathogens accountable for biofilm formation under low-temperature conditions in the food and dairy sectors encompass *Listeria, P. aeruginosa*, *Salmonella, Bacillus*, *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus,* and numerous others (Marshall, 2022; Sammugam, Lakhsmi, and Visweswara Rao, 2019; Shaik, Lubna, and Snehasis, 2022). Correspondingly, the current identified key pathogens—*P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, Enterococci, and Bacillus as contributors to biofilm formation in household refrigerators. Other contaminants, including *S. aureus*, Salmonella, Listeria, and various Vibrio species, were also found in multispecies biofilms. Vegetables and contaminated food items emerged as primary sources

introducing these pathogens into the refrigerator system. (Baptista et al, 2020). The rapid shift from an open environment to an enclosed, cool system induces growth inhibition and dormancy in bacteria. This metabolic adjustment, a survival strategy, conserves energy under unfavorable conditions. The study identifies *P. aeruginosa*, Enterococci, *S. aureus*, and Bacillus as adept at transitioning from planktonic to biofilm states. In mixed-species biofilms, these pathogens not only protect themselves but also shelter other consortium pathogens, showcasing intricate microbial interactions that influence collective behavior and resilience. This assertion is substantiated by published research as well (Gallo et al, 2020). It is evident that a significant portion of biofilms discovered in natural environments does not arise from a solitary species; instead, they commonly manifest as collaborative creations involving two or more species of microorganisms (Bell et al, 2021). *P. aeruginosa* excelled in solo biofilm formation, while *E. coli* had limited proficiency. In mixed scenarios, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* frequently coexisted. Listeria, *S. aureus*, and Enterococci individually showed modest biofilm formation but formed robust biofilms synergistically in consortia. Scanning electron microscopy confirmed *P. aeruginosa*'s advantage in adapting to the biofilm mode, especially in the refrigerator's cold environment. These pathogens, capable of dormancy at lower temperatures, exhibit a higher propensity for biofilm formation in persistent strains (Alvarez-Ordóñez et al, 2015). A significant proportion of food-borne pathogens possess the ability to adhere to and create biofilms on various surfaces within food processing and storage environments (Allata, Valero, Benhadja, 2017). Both the existing literature (Gallo et al,

2020; Osafo et al, 2022) and the current study proved that *P. aeruginosa* and Bacillus in household refrigerators shelter other pathogens in biofilms, posing a persistent threat. Public awareness and proper sanitation education are crucial to prevent contamination and infections from these resilient pathogens.

MMoreover, the low prevalence of biofilm formation in refrigerators, despite the presence of potential biofilm-forming pathogens, suggests that additional factors may influence the development of biofilms (Thi, et al, 2020; Sadiq et al, 2021). Factors such as the composition of the refrigerator environment, food handling practices, and cleaning procedures can impact the initiation and growth of biofilms (Liu et al, 2023). Further exploration is necessary to gain a comprehensive understanding of the conditions and mechanisms that contribute to biofilm formation in refrigerators (Mevo et al, 2021; Sionov et al, 2022).

#### **5. Conclusion**

This study highlights diverse biofilm formation in household refrigerators, emphasizing the importance of regular cleaning to address potential risks to food safety and hygiene. The robust methodology strengthens findings, underscoring the significance of public health and environmental considerations.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Funding**

This work was supported by the institutional funds provided to the corresponding authors.

#### **Study Approval**

This study was approved by the Department of Microbiology, University of Karachi, Pakistan.

#### **Consent Forms**

NA.

## **Authors Contribution**

RS, SU, Zam, NH, and SN carried out all the data collection, bench work, initial draft, and manuscript writing by AAM, AS, AK, and MNK. ABK, TS, and YR helped in data collection and statistical analysis. YR and ZAM conceptualized and supervised the study.

## **Data Availability**

Data is available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

#### **Acknowledgment**

NA

# **References**

Adamowicz, Elizabeth M., Jeffrey Flynn, Ryan C. Hunter, and William R. Harcombe. "Cross-feeding modulates antibiotic tolerance in bacterial communities." *The ISME journal* 12, no. 11 (2018): 2723-2735.

Ahmed, Faraz, Zulfiqar Ali Mirani, Ayaz Ahmed, Shaista Urooj, Fouzia Zeeshan Khan, Anila Siddiqi, Muhammad Naseem Khan et al. "Nanotubes formation in P. aeruginosa." *Cells* 11, no. 21 (2022): 3374.

Alegbeleye, Oluwadara, Olumide Adedokun Odeyemi, Mariyana Strateva, and Deyan Stratev. "Microbial spoilage of vegetables, fruits and cereals." *Applied Food Research* 2, no. 1 (2022): 100122.

Allata, S., A. Valero, and L. Benhadja. "Implementation of traceability and food safety systems (HACCP) under the ISO 22000: 2005 standard in North Africa: The case study of an ice cream company in Algeria." *Food Control* 79 (2017): 239-253.

Alvarez-Ordóñez, Avelino, Veronique Broussolle, Pierre Colin, Christophe Nguyen-The, and Miguel Prieto. "The adaptive response of bacterial food-borne pathogens in the environment, host and food: implications for food safety." *International journal of food microbiology* 213 (2015): 99-109.

Arun K. Foodborne Microbial Pathogens: Mechanisms and Pathogenesis. Springer, 2018.

Azad, ZR Azaz Ahmad, Mohd Fahim Ahmad, and Waseem Ahmad Siddiqui. "Food Spoilage and Food Contamination." Health and safety aspects of food processing technologies (2019): 9-28.

Baptista, Rafaela C., Claudia N. Horita, and Anderson S. Sant'Ana. "Natural products with preservative properties for enhancing the microbiological safety and extending the shelf-life of seafood: A review." *Food research international* 127 (2020): 108762.

Bell, Rebecca L., Julie A. Kase, Lisa M. Harrison, Kannan V. Balan, Uma Babu, Yi Chen, Dumitru Macarisin et al. "The persistence of bacterial pathogens in surface water and its impact on global food safety." *Pathogens* 10, no. 11 (2021): 1391.

DeFlorio, William, Shuhao Liu, Andrew R. White, Thomas Matthew Taylor, Luis Cisneros‐Zevallos, Younjin Min, and Ethan MA Scholar. "Recent developments in antimicrobial and antifouling coatings to reduce or prevent contamination and cross‐ contamination of food contact surfaces by bacteria." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 20, no. 3 (2021): 3093- 3134.

Desai, Stuti K., and Linda J. Kenney. "Switching lifestyles is an in vivo adaptive strategy of bacterial pathogens." *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* 9 (2019): 421. Gallo, Monica, Lydia Ferrara, Armando Calogero, Domenico Montesano, and Daniele Naviglio. "Relationships between food and diseases: What to know to ensure food safety." *Food Research International* 137 (2020): 109414.

Giaouris, Efstathios, Even Heir, Mickaël Desvaux, Michel Hébraud, Trond Møretrø, Solveig Langsrud, Agapi Doulgeraki et al. "Intra-and inter-species interactions within biofilms of important foodborne bacterial pathogens." *Frontiers in microbiology* 6 (2015): 144151.

Kamimura, Ryuto, Hideyuki Kanematsu, Akiko Ogawa, Takeshi Kogo, Hidekazu Miura, Risa Kawai, Nobumitsu Hirai, Takehito Kato, Michiko Yoshitake, and Dana M. Barry. "Quantitative analyses of biofilm by using crystal violet staining and optical reflection." *Materials* 15, no. 19 (2022): 6727.

Liu, Xiaoli, Huaiying Yao, Xihong Zhao, and Chaorong Ge. "Biofilm formation and control of foodborne pathogenic bacteria." *Molecules* 28, no. 6 (2023): 2432.

Marmion, M., G. Macori, M. Ferone, P. Whyte, and A. G. M. Scannell. "Survive and thrive: Control mechanisms that facilitate bacterial adaptation to survive manufacturing-related stress." *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 368 (2022): 109612.

Marra, Daniele, Thodoris Karapantsios, Sergio Caserta, Eleonora Secchi, Malgorzata Holynska, Simon Labarthe, Bastien Polizzi et al. "Migration of surface-associated microbial communities in spaceflight habitats." *Biofilm* 5 (2023): 100109.

Marshall, Matilda. "The refrigerator as a problem and solution: Food storage practices as part of sustainable food culture." *Food and Foodways* 30, no. 4 (2022): 261-286.

Mevo, Senakpon Isaïe Ulrich, Md Ashrafudoulla, Md Furkanur Rahaman Mizan, Si Hong Park, and Sang‐Do Ha. "Promising strategies to control persistent enemies: Some new technologies to combat biofilm in the food industry—A review." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 20, no. 6 (2021): 5938- 5964.

Okpala, Charles Odilichukwu R., and Ifeoma M. Ezeonu. "Food hygiene/microbiological safety in the typical household kitchen: some basic'must knows' for the general public." *Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology* 13, no. 2 (2019).

Osafo, Richard, Gadafi Iddrisu Balali, Papa Kofi Amissah-Reynolds, Francis Gyapong, Rockson Addy, Alberta Agyapong Nyarko, and Prince Wiafe. "Microbial and parasitic contamination of vegetables in developing countries and their food safety guidelines." *Journal of Food Quality* 2022 (2022).

Parrilli, Ermenegilda, Pietro Tedesco, Marco Fondi, Maria Luisa Tutino, Angelina Lo Giudice, Donatella de Pascale, and Renato Fani. "The art of adapting to extreme environments: the model system Pseudoalteromonas." *Physics of Life Reviews* 36 (2021): 137-161.

Sadiq, Faizan Ahmed, Mette Burmølle, Marc Heyndrickx, Steve Flint, Wenwei Lu, Wei Chen, Jianxin Zhao, and Hao Zhang. "Community-wide changes reflecting bacterial interspecific interactions in multispecies biofilms." *Critical reviews in microbiology* 47, no. 3 (2021): 338-358.

Sammugam, Lakhsmi, and Visweswara Rao Pasupuleti. "Balanced diets in food systems: Emerging trends and challenges for human health." *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* 59, no. 17 (2019): 2746-2759.

Shaik, Lubna, and Snehasis Chakraborty. "Nonthermal pasteurization of pineapple juice: A review on the potential of achieving microbial safety and enzymatic stability." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 21, no. 6 (2022): 4716- 4737.

Shao, Liangting, Shanshan Chen, Haodong Wang, Jinhao Zhang, Xinglian Xu, and Huhu Wang. "Advances in understanding the predominance, phenotypes, and mechanisms of bacteria related to meat spoilage." *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 118 (2021): 822-832.

Sharan, Manjeet, Deepthi Vijay, Pankaj Dhaka, Jasbir Singh Bedi, and Jatinder Paul Singh Gill. "Biofilms as a microbial hazard in the food industry: A scoping review." *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 133, no. 4 (2022): 2210- 2234.

Shree, Pallee, Chandra Kant Singh, Kushneet Kaur Sodhi, Jaya Niranjane Surya, and Dileep Kumar Singh. "Biofilms: Understanding the structure and contribution towards bacterial resistance in antibiotics." *Medicine in Microecology* (2023): 100084.

Sionov, Ronit Vogt, and Doron Steinberg. "Targeting the holy triangle of quorum sensing, biofilm formation, and antibiotic resistance in pathogenic bacteria." *Microorganisms* 10, no. 6 (2022): 1239.

Thi, Minh Tam Tran, David Wibowo, and Bernd HA Rehm. "Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms." *International journal of molecular sciences* 21, no. 22 (2020): 8671.

Treccani, Laura. "Interactions Between Surface Material and Bacteria: From Biofilm Formation to Suppression." *Surface‐ Functionalized Ceramics: For Biotechnological and Environmental Applications* (2023): 283- 335.

Wu, Yichao, Chengxia Fu, Caroline L. Peacock, Søren J. Sørensen, Marc A. Redmile-Gordon, Ke-Qing Xiao, Chunhui Gao et al. "Cooperative microbial interactions drive spatial segregation in porous environments." *Nature Communications* 14, no. 1 (2023): 4226.

Yang, Songzhen, Guangda Feng, Qing Yao, Yonghong Wang, Yuxin Yao, and Honghui Zhu. "Investigation of Microbial Species in Domestic Refrigerator." Biotechnology Bulletin, no. 2 (2013): 195.

Ye, Keping, Junjiao Wang, Yiqing Han, Chaowei Wang, Cong Qi, and Xiaoyin Ge. "Investigation on Microbial Contamination in the Cold Storage Room of Domestic Refrigerators." *Food Control* 99 (2019): 64-67.